

---

# **HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT**

**Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

**January 1997**

---

**Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)**

# **HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT**

## **Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

### **An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures**

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson  
2375 130th Avenue NE  
Suite 200  
Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Bonneville Power Administration  
Environment, Fish and Wildlife  
P.O. Box 3621  
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2  
Contract Number 95AC49468

January 1997

# CONTENTS

Section 1 Executive Summary .....	1-1
Section 2 Facility Description .....	2-1
Section 3 Compliance Status.....	3-1
Section 4 Remedial Actions .....	4-1
Section 5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries .....	5-1
Section 6 Annual Operating Expenditures .....	6-1

## List of Tables

### Table

1	Summary Program Information for Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook
3	Remedial Actions Required at Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Klickitat Hatchery

## Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program. The hatchery is located in a remote area on the Klickitat River at river mile 42, near the town of Glenwood, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of spring chinook and the incubation and rearing of URB fall chinook and coho (Type N).

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

### Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

### The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

## Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook Results

The Klickitat facility includes one pond for adult holding, 22 concrete raceways, 12 vinyl raceways, 3 rearing ponds, and incubation facilities. Klickitat Hatchery was authorized and constructed under the Mitchell Act and began operation as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The purpose of the hatchery is to produce adult fall chinook, Type-N coho, and spring chinook that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sport fisheries.

The Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal and needed to document its adult contribution. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the water quality monitoring criteria, screen approach criteria, alarm requirements, predation control facilities, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was not meeting the loading and flow criteria for incubation. The hatchery needed to develop a smoltification goal, a smoltification monitoring plan, and specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop additional water supply for rearing or construct water reuse system
- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Document adult contribution
- Follow IHOT flow and loading criteria for incubation or change criteria
- Follow IHOT protocols for checking flow alarms daily
- Install alarms at 3 intakes and 2 ponds
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Provide bird screening for 108,000 sf of rearing area
- Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing
- Provide new screening system for river (8,000 gpm) and creeks
- Review temperature criteria for rearing
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

## Facility Description

**Name:** Klickitat Fish Hatchery

**Stock/Species:** Spring Chinook  
URB Fall Chinook  
Coho (Type N)

**Operating Agency:** Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

**Funding Agency:** Mitchell Act (NMFS)

**Location:** The hatchery is located in a remote area on the Klickitat River at river mile 42, near the town of Glenwood, Washington.

**Address:** 301 Fish Hatchery Road  
Glenwood, WA 98619-9102

**Hatchery Manager:** Mr. Ted Anderson

**Phone:** (509) 364-3310  
**Fax:** (509) 364-3639

**Purpose:** Klickitat Hatchery was authorized and constructed under the Mitchell Act and began operation as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program - a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric system development. The purpose of the hatchery is to produce adult fall chinook, Type-N coho, and spring chinook that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sport fisheries.

**Production Goal:**

**Spring Chinook**

Produce 600,000 yearlings for on-station release

Produce 1,200,000 subyearlings for release into the upper Klickitat River

**URB Fall Chinook**

Produce 4,000,000 subyearlings for on-station release

**Coho (Type N)**

Produce 1,350,000 yearlings for on-station releases

**Water Supply:**

Water rights total 28,338 gpm from four sources: Indian Ford Springs, an unnamed spring (designated Indian Ford "B"), Wonder Springs, and the Klickitat River.

**Facilities:**

Adult Holding:	1 concrete adult holding pond - 12,000 cf
Incubation:	72 full stacks of vertical tray incubators (1008 trays) 28 shallow troughs
Early Rearing:	None
Raceways:	22 concrete raceways - 3,000 cf each 12 vinyl raceways - 1,600 cf each (BPA experimental program)
Rearing Ponds:	3 rearing/release ponds - 82,800 cf, 80,213 cf, and 39,560 cf
Satellite Facilities:	None

## Section 3

# Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report).<sup>1</sup> The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

## The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process

---

<sup>1</sup>Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.



consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Klickitat Hatchery was conducted on January 30, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

## Compliance Status of Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (✓) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- **N/A** (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- **?** (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

**Table 1 Summary Program Information for Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

Component	Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing					
	Priest Rapids, Lyons Ferry, Bonneville, or Wells Hatcheries	Klickitat Hatchery				
Adult Collection	✓					
Adult Holding	✓					
Spawning	✓					
Fertilization	✓					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	✓					
eyed-to-hatch		✓				
Rearing						
fry		✓				
fingerlings		✓				
smolts		✓				
Acclimation/release		✓				

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin management plan?		✓			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Mitchell Act	
ie hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?		✓			IHOT Operations Plan and Klickitat O&M Manual	
is it understood by staff?		✓				
is it being followed?		✓				
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		✓			CWT and Missing Groups Reports Only BY86 and BY89 data reported	
ult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery				✓	Review of records. Only BY86 and BY89 data available	Document adult contribution
ult pre-spawning survival as compared with established goal	✓				Spawning at other hatcheries	
take as compared with established hatchery goal	✓				Spawning at other hatcheries	
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with established goal	✓				Spawning at other hatcheries	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years	
duction as compared with established goal		✓			Review of records; in compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with established goal				✓	Review of records; in compliance 0 out of 2 years	Increase adult returns
number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults meet basinwide needs	✓				Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Temperature</b>						
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for spawning?	✓				Spawning at other hatcheries	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for incubation?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for rearing?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Review temperature criteria for rearing
<b>Dissolved gases</b>						
Is the oxygen level near saturation?			✓		No data	Monitor DO and record
Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			✓		No data	Monitor TGP and record
<b>Chemistry</b>						
Ammonia (un-ionized)			✓		No data	Run analysis for water quality parameters
Carbon Dioxide			✓		See above	See above
Chlorine			✓		See above	See above
Hardness			✓		See above	See above
Copper			✓		See above	See above
Hydrogen Sulfide			✓		See above	See above
Iron			✓		See above	See above
Manganese			✓		See above	See above
<b>Turbidity</b>						
Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			✓		No data	Run analysis

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Alkalinity and hardness</b>						
Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			✓		No data	Run analysis
<b>Nitrite</b>						
Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			✓		No data	Run analysis
<b>Pesticide Contaminants</b>						
Aldrin			✓		No data	Run analysis
Dieldrin			✓		See above	See above
Dielsrin			✓		See above	See above
Heptachlor			✓		See above	See above
Chlordane			✓		See above	See above
Methoxychlor			✓		See above	See above
Endane			✓		See above	See above
Malathion			✓		See above	See above
Parathion			✓		See above	See above
<b>Diseases</b>						
What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
Adult holding	✓			✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing
Incubation				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
Early rearing				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Rearing				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Others				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Alarm Systems</b>						
Do the following areas have alarms?						
Intake				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms at 3 intakes
Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms at 2 ponds
Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Incubation facilities		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Quarantine areas and facilities	✓				No quarantine areas and facilities	
Water treatment systems	✓				No water treatment systems	
Security				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite residences?		✓			Discussion	
Are water flow alarms checked daily?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for checking flow alarms daily
Are all other alarms checked weekly?		✓			Discussion	
Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and maintenance requirements?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Are telephone pagers used?				✓	Discussion	Install telephone pagers
<b>Adult collection and holding facilities</b>						
Do you meet the adult holding criteria?	✓				Adult holding at other hatcheries	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>habitation facilities</b>  Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Type 2: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	✓					
<b>ring facilities</b>  Type 1: <u>Raceways</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Type 2: <u>Release ponds</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Type 3: _____ Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	✓					
<b>eenening facilities</b>  Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide new intake screening system for river (8,000 gpm)
Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide new intake screening system for creeks
Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
Are rearing containers double screened for fish that should not be released to adjacent water?	✓				Released on station	
<b>ator control facilities</b>  Are your predation control facilities effective?				✓	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide bird screening for 108,000 sf of rearing area

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>d storage facilities and quality control</b>						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods (dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) follow food manufacturer's recommendations?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does a regional quality control officer oversee production procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				✓	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				✓	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				✓	Discussion	See above
Are the foods stored and handled according to the following criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		✓			Discussion	
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		✓			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		✓			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		✓			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	✓				Not used	



Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Release facilities</b>						
Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
<b>Pollution abatement facilities</b>						
Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		✓			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		✓			Discussion	
<b>Transportation facilities</b>						
Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT performance measures for transportation practices?	✓				Released on station	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Broodstock selection practices</b>						
Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	✓				Existing program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	✓				Existing program; does not apply	
<b>Spawning practices</b>						
Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	✓				Spawning at other hatcheries	
<b>Incubation practices</b>						
Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?		✓			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and hatchery O&M Manual	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
Are incubation practices written?		✓			See above	
Incubation Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> (see PM #8) do you meet the loading and flow criteria?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT flow and loading criteria or change criteria
Incubation Type 2: _____ (see PM #8) do you meet the loading and flow criteria?	✓					

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Rearing practices</b>						
Do you have specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?				✓	Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and hatchery O&M Manual	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
Are rearing practices written?				✓	See above	See above
Rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Raceways</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Rearing Unit Type 2: <u>Ponds</u> (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				✓	Review of records/Discussion	Develop additional water supply or construct water reuse system
Rearing Unit Type 3: _____ (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	✓				Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	✓				Review of records/Discussion	
<b>Smolt quality</b>						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Health management practices</b>						
Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted? (PM #26)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Are the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? (PM #27)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h) and are the sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? (PM #31)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p><b>Do hatchery performance meet requirements defined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?</b></p> <p><b>Percent smoltification</b></p> <p>Do you measure percent smoltification?</p> <p>Do you have a smoltification goal?</p> <p>Did you meet the smoltification criteria?</p>			✓	✓ ✓	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion</p>	<p>Develop smoltification goal and monitor</p> <p>See above</p>
<p><b>Rearing density (prior to release)</b></p> <p>Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to release?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Disease condition (at release)</b></p> <p>Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Release number (at release)</b></p> <p>Did you meet the release number goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Release size (at release)</b></p> <p>Did you meet the size goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Release date (at release)</b></p> <p>Did you meet the release date goal?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Release location (at release)</b></p> <p>Did you release the fish at the specified location?</p>		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Subbasin acclimation (at release)</b></p> <p>Are the fish reared in the subbasin?</p> <p>Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?</p>		✓ ✓			<p>Discussion</p> <p>Discussion</p>	
<p><b>Release strategy appropriate for the program?</b></p>		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Transportation facilities</b>						
Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?	✓				Fish released on station	
Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 100 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity of 60% for 2 hrs)?	✓				See above	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?	✓				See above	
Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?	✓				See above	
Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?	✓				See above	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes	✓					
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling fish eggs or cultural water?	✓				See above	
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive an inspection and service prior to the release season?	✓				See above	
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting pump and leaving for the day?	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Transportation facilities</b>						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior to loading?	✓				Fish released on station	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to loading fish in the transport unit?	✓				See above	
Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes to 1 hour after loading?	✓				See above	
When fish are active and systems are functioning properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and maintained at approximately 8 ppm?	✓				See above	
Is water temperature in the transportation unit maintained within the 42-48 °F range?	✓				See above	
Do fish releasing procedures include the following criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.	✓				See above	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.	✓				See above	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Evaluation practices</b>						
Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies?						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		✓			Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		✓			Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		✓			Discussion	
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		✓			Discussion	
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		✓			Discussion	



Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>ining practices</b>						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		✓			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>monthly hatchery monitoring visits being conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as described below?</b>  Conduct visit at least monthly  Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist  Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.  Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.  Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.  Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.  Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		✓  ✓  ✓  ✓  ✓  ✓			Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion	
<b>all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?</b>  Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of reportable viral pathogens.  Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .  Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		✓  ✓  ✓			Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion  Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p><b>Are hatchery sanitation procedures accepted?</b></p> <p>Are there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially for incubation and early rearing?</p> <p>Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and being followed as described below?</p> <p>Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?</p> <p>Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?</p> <p>Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?</p> <p>Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior to its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?</p> <p>Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?</p> <p>Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?</p> <p>Are dead fish properly disposed of?</p>		<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>		<p>✓</p>	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Most incubation and spawning at other hatcheries See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p> <p>See above</p>	<p>Provide pathogen-free water for incubation and early rearing</p>

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>water quality parameters being followed?</b>						
Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature			✓	✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
Turbidity			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			✓		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
io to PM #21						
<b>incubation and rearing standards being followed?</b>						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
io to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
<b>egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?</b>		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p>Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin management plan?</p> <p>Refer to subbasin plan PM #1</p>		✓			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Mitchell	
<p>Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?</p> <p>Refer to operational plan PM #2</p>		✓			Review IHOT Operations Plan and hatchery O&M Manual	
<p>Is hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?</p> <p>Refer to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3</p>		✓			CWT and Missing Groups Reports	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and basin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, spawning and egg-take protocols?						
Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program )	✓				Broodstock collection and spawning at other hatcheries	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	✓				See above	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)	✓				See above	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	✓				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<p><b>Do the hatchery's performance meet requirements defined in the regional hatchery policies and in the subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?</b></p> <p>Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)</p> <p>Rearing density (PM #22a2)</p> <p>Disease condition (PM #22a3)</p> <p>Number at release (PM #22a4)</p> <p>Size at release (PM #22a5)</p> <p>Date of release (PM #22a6)</p> <p>Location of release (PM #22a7)</p>				✓	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
		✓			Review of records/Discussion	
<p><b>Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the subbasin?</b></p> <p>PM #22b</p>		✓			Discussion	
<p><b>Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?</b></p> <p>PM #22c</p>		✓			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>new programs, has a broodstock collection plan developed?</b>						
Is the broodstock collection plan written?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
For a non-captive broodstock program:	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
For a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
<b>a new program, was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?</b>						
Is a donor selection plan written?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	✓				Existing Program; does not apply	



Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?</b>						
Is the broodstock collection plan written?	✓				Broodstock collected at other hatcheries	
Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	✓				See above	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	✓				See above	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
<b>Are the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used?</b>						
Are the spawning protocols written?	✓				Spawning at other hatcheries	
Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?	✓				See above	
Was the appropriate number of spawners used?	✓				See above	
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?	✓				See above	
Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?	✓				See above	
Were the fertilization protocols followed?	✓				See above	
If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?	✓				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Where a genetics monitoring and evaluation program is available?				✓	None provided	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
Does the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				✓	See above	See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				✓	See above	See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				✓	See above	See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				✓	See above	See above

## Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

**The Five Types of Remedial Actions**

Type	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

### Remedial Actions at Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, suggestions developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm 40\%$ ).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

**Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs <sup>1</sup>
<b>Type 1</b> - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Increase adult returns	----	4h
<b>Type 2</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Document adult contribution	----	4a
Review temperature criteria for rearing	----	5a
Follow IHOT protocols for checking flow alarms daily	----	6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation	----	12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan	----	18-19
Follow IHOT flow and loading criteria for incubation or change criteria	----	18
Develop smoltification goal and monitor	----	22a1
Develop approved genetics M&E plan	----	43
<b>Type 3</b> - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor and record DO and TGP	----	5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants	----	5c-5g

<sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs <sup>1</sup>
<b>Type 4</b> - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install alarms at 3 intakes and 2 ponds	\$40,000	6
Install security alarms	\$10,000	6
Install telephone pagers	5,000	6
Provide new screening system for river (8,000 gpm) and 1 creeks	\$150,000	10
Provide bird screening for 108,000 sf of rearing area	\$200,000	11
<b>Type 5</b> - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Provide disease-free water for incubation and early rearing	----	5h, 28
Develop additional water supply for rearing or construct water reuse system	----	19

---

<sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

## Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

**Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:  
Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

Year	Fisheries <sup>1</sup> (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds <sup>1</sup> (Broodyear)	Hatchery <sup>1</sup> (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution <sup>2</sup> (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1982					
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986	371	82	No information provided	435	0.44%
1987	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided
1988	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided
1989	123	14	No information provided	137	0.06%
1990					
1991					
1992					

<sup>1</sup> Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

<sup>2</sup> Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.





## Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

**Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

<b>Hatchery</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
1. Klickitat Hatchery	<b>\$102,060</b>	<b>\$139,720</b>	<b>\$150,080</b>
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
<b>Total Program Costs</b>	<b>\$102,060</b>	<b>\$139,720</b>	<b>\$150,080</b>

The total expenditures for the Klickitat Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

**Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Klickitat Hatchery**

<b>Program</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
1. Spring Chinook	<b>\$228,420</b>	<b>\$199,600</b>	<b>\$214,400</b>
2. URB Fall Chinook	<b>\$102,060</b>	<b>\$139,720</b>	<b>\$150,080</b>
3. Coho (Type N)	<b>\$155,520</b>	<b>\$159,680</b>	<b>\$171,520</b>
4.			
5.			
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$486,000</b>	<b>\$499,000</b>	<b>\$536,000</b>

**Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Klickitat Hatchery - URB Fall Chinook**

**Expenditure Occurring at Klickitat Hatchery**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$166,000	\$167,000	\$191,000
Operational Costs	\$165,000	\$198,000	\$208,000
Capital Costs	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
Indirect Costs	\$35,000	\$44,000	\$45,000
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$70,000	\$40,000	\$42,000
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$486,000</b>	<b>\$499,000</b>	<b>\$536,000</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Program Production (lb)	39,076	57,893	68,365
Total Production (lb)	183,140	20,6621	241,208
Program as Percent of Total	21%	28%	28%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$102,060</b>	<b>\$139,720</b>	<b>\$150,080</b>

<sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Klickitat Hatchery by Program**

**Spring Chinook**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$166,000	\$167,000	\$191,000
Operational Costs	\$165,000	\$198,000	\$208,000
Capital Costs	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
Indirect Costs	\$35,000	\$44,000	\$45,000
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$70,000	\$40,000	\$42,000
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$486,000</b>	<b>\$499,000</b>	<b>\$536,000</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Program Production (lb)	86,576	83,034	95,797
Total Production (lb)	183,140	20,6621	241,208
Program as Percent of Total	47%	40%	40%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$228,420</b>	<b>\$199,600</b>	<b>\$214,400</b>

<sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Klickitat Hatchery by Program**

**URB Fall Chinook**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$166,000	\$167,000	\$191,000
Operational Costs	\$165,000	\$198,000	\$208,000
Capital Costs	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
Indirect Costs	\$35,000	\$44,000	\$45,000
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$70,000	\$40,000	\$42,000
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$486,000</b>	<b>\$499,000</b>	<b>\$536,000</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Program Production (lb)	39,076	57,893	68,365
Total Production (lb)	183,140	20,6621	241,208
Program as Percent of Total	21%	28%	28%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$102,060</b>	<b>\$139,720</b>	<b>\$150,080</b>

<sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

**Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Klickitat Hatchery by Program****Coho (Type N)**

<b>Component</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>
Personnel Costs	\$166,000	\$167,000	\$191,000
Operational Costs	\$165,000	\$198,000	\$208,000
Capital Costs	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
Indirect Costs	\$35,000	\$44,000	\$45,000
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>			
Lumped Third-Party Costs	\$70,000	\$40,000	\$42,000
<b>Total Hatchery Costs</b>	<b>\$486,000</b>	<b>\$499,000</b>	<b>\$536,000</b>
<b>Source of Funds</b>			
NMFS	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Program Production (lb)	57,488	65,694	77,046
Total Production (lb)	183,140	20,6621	241,208
Program as Percent of Total	32%	32%	32%
<b>Program Costs</b>	<b>\$155,520</b>	<b>\$159,680</b>	<b>\$171,520</b>

<sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.